Order of the	Kittitas	County
\		

Board of Equalization

Property Owner: Jo	ohn Noagi				
Parcel Number(s): 3	50534				
Assessment Year: 2	022		Petition Number: BE-22	0213	
Date(s) of Hearing: _	10/20/2022				
Having considered th	e evidence pres	ented by the par	ties in this appeal, the Board	l hereby:	
⊠ sustains [_	tion of the assessor.	J	
Assessor's True and	l Fair Value		BOE True and Fair Va	lue Dete	rmination
∠ ∠ ∠ and	\$	81,330	∠ Land	\$	81,330
	\$	7,000		\$	7,000
Minerals	\$		☐ Minerals	\$	
Personal Prope	rty \$		Personal Property	\$	
Total Value	\$	88,330	Total Value	\$	88,330

This decision is based on our finding that:

The issue before the Board is the assessed value of land/improvements.

A hearing was held on October 20, 2022. Those present: Ann Shaw, Jennifer Hoyt, Josh Cox, Clerk Emily Smith, Appraiser Evan Jones, Appellant John Noagi, and daughter Elizabeth Noagi.

BE-220176 and BE-220213 were heard together.

The appellants stated that the market listing on average is about \$50,000 per acre. The two lots put together are only .6 acres and are being valued at \$88,000 combined. It says on the assessor's report that there are \$7,000 on improvements, the roof cover collapsed, and it was removed. Additionally, she asked about the data used for the assessment, is it one year of sales data used to value, don't you need more data points? It isn't a great location, you can hear the freeway, but it is on a dirt road.

Ann Shaw asked if they filled for destroyed property for the roof collapse, he had to file a permit and hire someone to remove it.

Jennifer Hoyt asked if there is septic, water, and power available, yes but the electrical pole needs to be replaced.

Mr. Jones stated that they do assess every year, and they visit every property every 6 years. When they come out, they do try to get sales information, the \$7,000 is the septic value. It was visited this year, there was a function adjustment of 100% so there is no value being calculated for the home, roof, or shed, only the septic. There is an adjustment for the creek The other parcel is just being valued as part of the next lot; it's a must-be sold with. Mr. Jones went over his comparable properties. The first acre is the most expensive part of the land as the building site, the more acres you have, the less they are valued per acre.

Mr. Jones asked how they generated their value, they looked at other properties in the area and what they were put on the market for.

The board has determined that the assessor's valuation of this parcel is upheld. The sales in the area support the assessed value. The \$7,000 improvement value was confirmed to be the septic system value. The Board voted 3-0.

Dated this	day ofI	December , (yea	ur)
Ann	Stadio	7 9	Phui Son

NOTICE

This order can be appealed to the State Board of Tax Appeals by filing a formal or informal appeal with them at PO Box 40915, Olympia, WA 98504-0915 or at their website at bta.state.wa.us/appeal/forms.htm within thirty days of the date of mailing of this order. The appeal forms are available from either your county assessor or the State Board of Tax Appeals.

To ask about the availability of this publication in an alternate format for the visually impaired, please call 1-800-647-7706. Teletype (TTY) users use the Washington Relay Service by calling 711.

Distribution: • Assessor • Petitioner • BOE File

REV 64 0058 (5/25/2017)