

Lindsey Ozbolt

From: Christina Wollman
Sent: Monday, September 21, 2015 11:44 AM
To: 'Chad Bala'
Cc: 'Pat Deneen'; Lindsey Ozbolt; Mark Cook
Subject: RE: Yakima River Campground CU-15-00002

Chad, after discussion with CDS we have determined the TIA can be completed prior to making SEPA determination.

Christina Wollman, AICP CFM

[p] 509.962.7051 | [f] 509.962.7663

From: Christina Wollman
Sent: Monday, September 14, 2015 12:45 PM
To: 'Chad Bala'
Cc: Pat Deneen; Lindsey Ozbolt; Mark Cook
Subject: RE: Yakima River Campground CU-15-00002

Chad, I will have an answer for you by the end of the week. The complete file was sent to WSDOT to review along with the application for concurrency and through that process they requested the TIA. See attached email for correspondence.

Christina Wollman, AICP CFM

[p] 509.962.7051 | [f] 509.962.7663

From: Chad Bala [mailto:bala.ce@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 08, 2015 9:59 AM
To: Christina Wollman
Cc: Pat Deneen; Lindsey Ozbolt; Mark Cook
Subject: Re: Yakima River Campground CU-15-00002

Thank you Christina for the Transportation Concurrency letter for File CU-15-00002.

I was wondering, since your letter stated that you had consultation with WSDOT, could please forward all discussions notes etc. to us for our review pertaining to CU-15-00002. This brings up some questions. 1. Has this project been sent out for review by all (i.e. notice of application/process) therefore getting WSDOT's review of the complete project? 2. In your discussions with WSDOT did you receive information on the level of service pertaining to WSDOT highway at that location (SR HWY 10), since this project will access directly onto HWY 10 and not onto any county roads? I am asking this because with past projects and with existing access permits onto WSDOT facilities we been required to only upgrade our existing state access permits with some additional requirements. 3rd and final question. In the past we have had the TIA required after the Notice of Application since we try to include all issues and address those issues at one time.

We would like to request that the TIA be required after and not before the notice of application is issued so that we receive all comments/issues etc. By doing this then we can (if needed) have an all-encompassing TIA to

review hopefully addressing all issues/comments etc. at one time. Furthermore I think this request is also supported by your Transportation Code specifically section 12.10.040 A. 8. Which states: “The concurrency evaluation and determination shall be completed prior to: b. Issuance of the DNA, MDNS or DSN if SEPA review is a requirement of the project.” Again we are requesting this so that we can gather all available information, comments, issues etc., that will be vetted to during the Notice of Application process, prior to proceeding forward with a TIA.

Thanks Christina and I look forward to your response so that I can move forward one way or the other.

Chad

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 4, 2015, at 2:27 PM, Christina Wollman <christina.wollman@co.kittitas.wa.us> wrote:

The attached letter will be mailed today outlining the requirements for transportation concurrency.

Christina Wollman, AICP CFM

Planner III | Floodplain Manager
Kittitas County Department of Public Works | Flood Control Zone District
411 N Ruby St, Suite 1 Ellensburg WA 98926 [p] 509.962.7051 | [f] 509.962.7663

Notice: All email sent to this address will be received by the Kittitas County email system and may be subject to public disclosure under Chapter 42.56 RCW and to archiving and review.

message id: 38eb45916c6dcbdac24bb8719d004a14

<TC-15-00007 Yakima River Campground TIA Required.pdf>