PROSECUTOR 60
communities of the community of the communities of
DEPARTMENT. KY
DIURANCE

01/06/2011 03:45:29 PM \$0.00 201101060023 Page 1 of 4

K CO

Claims Against County/rls/misc Kittitas County Auditor

## ) 184000 HATO IN BAR ODDINA NODD HAD IN 1880 DINBA IU 1898 OUN 1888 OUI 1818

## KITTITAS COUNTY CLAIM FOR DAMAGES

Return to: County Auditor 205 W 5th, Suite 105 Ellensburg, WA 98926



Instructions:

Please read the entire form before completion. Fill out each question as completely as possible, to the best of your ability. Do not hesitate to use the back side of this form if you need more than the space provided. An incomplete response may delay the processing of your claim.

1. Name (Including spouse, if married): Norm and Lac! Drothing

2. Date of Birth: 6-22-1955 8/27/1959

3. Phone #: (Home): Soft 174-5176Work): 206 917-6347

4. Address (include former address if at present address for less than 6 months): 441 Phensant Place (Le Elum WA 98922

5. Date of Incident: January 7, 2009

6. Location of Incident: 441 Phensant Place

Cle Elum WA 98922

7.	Describe in detail the defect which caused the injur	ry: See attatched
8. 	Describe in narrative form and in detail exactly how	w the incident occurred: <u>See</u>
9.	Was claim investigated by a police officer? 100	
	Sheriff State Patrol	City Police
10	). Description of claimant's vehicle less net apple	Make Year
	Model	License No
	Describe what you did after the accident occurred:  Describe the conversations you had, if any, with Cocident occurred: Not required by State	ounty personnel during or after the
13	Describe the damages or injuries which you sustain to perfy estimated at 10,700 repair const of property unlike unknown at Thu	ed as a result of the incident: Loss of prose ests estimated at 10,000-16,000
2. V.	. What is the amount of damages claimed? (Include ice above, to be damages are not tigmatization of property. estimates equited by Status.  How did you identify the County as the party respon	cond bills are not
	attached	institute for your damage:

16. List the names and addresses of	f all witnesses to the incident:		<del>.</del>
Bill Johnson 7060 Ke			
Drok Hannack 391 1	Jelson Creek Rd Cle	Elum 98922	<del></del>
	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	•		
	$\Lambda_{\lambda}$		
17. Are you covered by insurance?	If yes, w	ho is your insurance	
agent/carrier?		<del></del>	<u> </u>
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		•	
Dated this Day of	nusry , 20//		
V	(/	_	
<i>S</i> .	ren USKillee G.	A by Marcon as	+ Usa
N. C.	en a sauce g.	Signature of Claims  NA	
		Signature of Claims	and dall
at.	ata ata ata	1 let	china.
. **	* *_Notary * * *	1012	
	N	. 11.1.	0
	Zarena	Willes J.	st.
	v	erified signature of Claims	ant
int of Fing		,	
escribed and sworn (affirmed) to be	fore me this Day of	2011.	
MINIMA		U	
PATRICK	•		
AR MISSION EL		2 / 1/	
		The	
NOTARY OF N	Muhuel 19	Thellijer	
PUBLIC		eth a Ctata of Washinston	
7 POED 18. 2017 6	Notary Public in and for	the State of Washington	
OF WASHINGTON	Residing at	+ UP	

## Answers to Questions 7, 8 and 15:

Claimants live along or near the Teanaway River in the vicinity of Washington State Highways 10 and 970, and Lambert Road and Quail Valley Road. These public highways and Kittitas County roads are protected from the Teanaway River by a series of levees and dikes designed, constructed, improved, maintained, and repaired by the State of Washington and Kittitas County, or their predecessors in interest. These river control measures diverted and altered the natural watercourse of the Teanaway River. During high water events, the system is designed to confine the river to its main channel, thereby reducing its flood conveyance capacity.

On or about January 7, 2009, a high water event in the Teanaway River caused the failure of certain levees and dikes. The river flowed out of its confined channel and onto and across Claimants' properties, causing significant damage.

The State and County agencies responsible for the Teanaway River knew or should have known of the insufficiencies of the flood control projects along the river and the dangers posed to Claimants' properties. Claimants were not told of the dangers, nor were their properties in designated floodplains or floodways.