

**BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
COUNTY OF KITTITAS
STATE OF WASHINGTON**

RESOLUTION NO. 2014-147

**APPROVE CHAIR SIGNATURE FOR THE LOCAL AGENCY
STANDARD CONSULTANT AGREEMENT SUPPLEMENT NO. 1 FOR
THE KITTITAS HIGHWAY SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT.**

WHEREAS: Kittitas County Public Works (KCPW) received funding through Federal Highway Administration for safety improvements to Kittitas Highway; and

WHEREAS: Kittitas County required civil and irrigation engineering services to complete the design and contract; and

WHEREAS: The County selected JUB Engineers, Inc. to provide these services; and

WHEREAS: Unforeseen physical and environmental conditions resulted in the need for additional preliminary and construction engineering services; and

WHEREAS: The current consultant agreement equals \$399,850. This supplemental agreement would increase this amount by \$268,260 for the additional changes. The new maximum payable amount would total \$668,110.


NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED the Kittitas County Board of County Commissioners declares it be in the best interest of the public, and does hereby authorize chair signature for the aforementioned consultant agreement supplement.

DATED this 7th day of October, 2014, at Ellensburg, Washington.


**BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
KITTITAS COUNTY, WASHINGTON**



Paul Jewell, Chair



Gary Berndt, Vice-Chair



Obie O'Brien, Commissioner





Clerk of the Board



Supplemental Agreement Number 1		Organization and Address J-U-B ENGINEERS, INC. 2810 W. Clearwater Ave., Suite 201 Kennewick, WA 99336	
Original Agreement Number J-U-B PRJ: 30-13-055		Phone: (509) 783-2144	
Project Number Fed. Aid No. STPD-C190(003)		Execution Date 7/16/2013	Completion Date 12/31/2016
Project Title Kittitas Highway Safety Improvements		New Maximum Amount Payable \$ 668,110.00	
Description of Work Supplement Task 1 Preliminary Engineering for additional scope and design changes and Task 2 Construction Engineering for additional scope including surveying and construction observation.			

The Local Agency of Kittitas County
desires to supplement the agreement entered into with J-U-B ENGINEERS, INC.
and executed on 7/16/2013 and identified as Agreement No. J-U-B PRJ 30-13-055

All provisions in the basic agreement remain in effect except as expressly modified by this supplement.

The changes to the agreement are described as follows:

I

Section 1, SCOPE OF WORK, is hereby changed to read:

See Attached Scope of Work Exhibit A-1

II

Section IV, TIME FOR BEGINNING AND COMPLETION, is amended to change the number of calendar days for completion of the work to read: No Change

III

Section V, PAYMENT, shall be amended as follows:

See attached Exhibit E-1 Fee Determination. J-U-B agrees to use lower the overhead rate upon authorization of supplement. See attached WSDOT approval letter June 9, 2014 and Exhibit F.

as set forth in the attached Exhibit A, and by this reference made a part of this supplement.

If you concur with this supplement and agree to the changes as stated above, please sign in the appropriate spaces below and return to this office for final action.

By: Ben W. Volk, Area Manager

Consultant Signature

By: Paul Jewell

Approving Authority Signature

October 7, 2014

Date

Exhibit A-1
Scope of Work – JUB Engineers, Inc.

Supplement No. 1
Preliminary Engineering and Construction Engineering
Kittitas Highway Safety Improvements

Kittitas County

PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

This supplements the July 2013 Exhibit A-1 Scope of Work

TASK 1 – PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING

Preliminary Engineering Task 1 is supplemented for the following:

Subtask 1.1 – Project Management

The original schedule assumed 6 months of project administration for preliminary engineering. The original submitted schedule was to submit final PS&E on January 31, 2014. Scope extended through the PS&E advertisement of August 21, 2014.

Subtask 1.3 – Base Map

The County provided basemap files included duplicate point numbers due to multiple surveys over the history of the project. We had to edit the files and base drawing to renumber in order to avoid overwriting points and creating duplicate points prior to importing into design software. Jeff Thorpe indicated many points were duplicated as a result of several years of data collection from many different projects and designers.

Additional surveys that were incorporated into the base at separate times included:

- Driveway and drainage changes along Trinity Farms frontage.
- Additional culverts found during the culvert cleaning/camera inspection by Iron Horse and by County surveyors after the original surveys were provided.
- Monitoring Wells locations survey
- Town Ditch Xing survey

In order to help support the environmental permitting, we incorporated wetland GIS information provided by Widener and was also used in the Public Open House.

Subtask 1.4 – Other Consultant Coordination

As per our scope we coordinated PS&E development using work done by other Consultants including bridge plans and environmental permitting.

During the 60% submittal QC check on an early submittal, we discovered discrepancies in the alignment and profile of the bridge plans to the information provide to us by the County. We developed design information for Sargent to revise their plans.

At the request of the County we evaluated raising the profile for Towne Ditch Bridge. J-U-B worked with Sargent Engineers to raise the grade over Towne Ditch to provide greater freeboard clearance. By revising the grade there would be negative impacts for access to irrigation gate behind proposed guardrail and access to private driveway. This would also require structural wall and additional construction costs. After investigating existing conditions of Towne Ditch, Sargent Engineers was able to verify the original design will provide more freeboard than existing and the design revision were not incorporated into the plans.

At the request of the County, J-U-B provided direct assistance to Widener and Associates in the development of permit exhibits. J-U-B developed over 50 exhibits with multiple revisions and iterations for the JARPA submittal and continues to provide ongoing revisions.

Subtask 1.5 – Utility Coordination

Original information provided by the City of Ellensburg indicated the waterline location in the wrong location. It was not until we had an initial design that we discovered discrepancies in the survey location of the water valves compared to the plans the City initially provided. After further coordination, the City located asbuilts which indicated the waterline location was changed during construction.

Subtask 1.6 – Roadway Design

For the most part the roadway design was as expected with minor deviations from the original scope. However additional effort was needed due to the following:

J-U-B provided early evaluation of guardrail compared to piping ditch section meeting full design standards. Between the 30% submittal and 60% design, prepared design deviations and revised shoulders for 4 foot width. This was a significant change.

J-U-B developed a Technical Memo for the intersection capacity evaluation for Matthews Road, No. 6 Road, and Fairview Road. The County provided traffic data.

J-U-B provided Auto-Turn truck turning evaluation and design of several intersections to determine what intermediate improvements could be done within existing ROW.

Subtask 1.8 & 1.9 – Storm Water & Irrigation Adjustments Design

J-U-B research pipe rehabilitation methods such as pipe bursting, CIPP, and pipe lining and developed cost comparisons.

Update pipe crossing spreadsheet based on field results of culvert cleaning and video inspection (included in first supplement).

Added J-U-B Structural footing design for the open bottom culverts.

Coordination with Waterman Industries on fabrication of irrigation gates – after weeks of coordination they decided to not make available US Made Steel products and certify.

January 16, 2014 contacted Golden Harvest Gates which Ellensburg Water Company also uses.

Due to shallow City water and sewer utilities in vicinity of Lyle Creek, the design of this structure had to be revised to box culvert. It is too shallow for standard footing designs of an open bottom.

County requested a siphon at Towne Ditch be replaced under this project rather than rebuild a portion of it. J-U-B provided additional design for this siphon.

Subtask 1.10 – Public Involvement

The budget we had planned for this task helped to offset overruns on other tasks. We had anticipated more requests for individual meetings. After the open house there were few individuals to follow up with. The County has largely provided the public outreach.

Subtask 1.14 – Specifications & Bid Documents

J-U-B has already revised specification from 2012 to 2014 and updates due to WSDOT quarterly revisions in preparation for the bid ready documents.

TASK 2 – ENGINEERING SUPPORT DURING CONSTRUCTION

CONSULTANT shall provide assistance to AGENCY for the bid, award and construction phase services:

AGENCY will advertise the project and perform all Construction Administration services during the Bid & Award Phase. CONSULTANT will provide construction and design experts who worked on the project to be available in responding to contractor questions during the bidding process. CONSULTANT will prepare and issue addenda during the bidding process. CONSULTANT will attend a pre-bid meeting if requested. CONSULTANT will provide assistance during review of bids – as requested by AGENCY.

Subtask 2.1 – Project Management

This task includes preparing monthly invoices, status reports, overall project coordination, monitoring the project schedule and keeping the project documentation and files up to date and progress meetings with the AGENCY.

CONSULTANT will prepare separate invoices and develop accounting processes to comply with certified payroll requirements for site survey activities in compliance with Washington State's Labor and Industry's rules.

Deliverables:

- ☐ Monthly invoices and Project Status Reports
- ☐ Submit Intent to Pay Prevailing L&I
- ☐ Separate accounting (weekly) payroll for surveyors
- ☐ Certified Payroll Report for L&I
- ☐ Affidavit of Wages Paid L&I

Subtask 2.2 – Meetings

It is assumed that there will be various meetings requiring the CONSULTANT to prepare information, participate and document outcomes. The anticipated meetings are: Pre-Construction Meeting, Substantial Completion Walk-Through, and Final Walk-Through.

Deliverables:

- ☐ Meeting Agenda and Minutes

Subtask 2.3 – Submittals & RFIs

CONSULTANT will respond to Submittals and Requests for Information (RFI) as requested by AGENCY. AGENCY will receive and process all Submittals and RFIs and involve the CONSULTANT at the AGENCY'S discretion. CONSULTANT will provide submittal review in accordance with the following:

Shop Drawings and Samples. Review or take other appropriate action in respect to Shop Drawings, Samples, and other data that contractor is required to submit, but only for conformance with the design concept of the Project and compliance with the information given in the Contract Documents. Such reviews or other action shall not extend to means, methods, techniques, sequences, or procedures of construction or to safety precautions and programs incident thereto.

Substitutes. Consult with and advise AGENCY concerning, and determine the acceptability of, substitute materials and equipment proposed by contractor.

Deliverables:

- ☐ Submittal and RFI Review Comments (electronic copy in .pdf format)

Subtask 2.4 – Field Changes, Clarifications and Interpretations

CONSULTANT will provide services as requested by AGENCY in accordance with the following:

Clarifications and Interpretations; Field Orders. Recommend to AGENCY necessary clarifications and interpretations of the Contract Documents as appropriate to the orderly completion of the Work. Such clarifications and interpretations will be consistent with the intent of and reasonably inferable from the Contract Documents. Based on CONSULTANT's recommendations, AGENCY may issue Field Orders authorizing minor variations from the requirements of the Contract Documents.

Change Orders, and Work Change Directives. Recommend to AGENCY Change Orders or Work Change Directives, as appropriate, and prepare required documents for AGENCY consideration. AGENCY may issue Change Orders or Work Change Directives authorizing variations from the requirements of the Contract Documents.

Subtask 2.5 – Construction Surveying – Control Surveying

CONSULTANT will provide surveying to establish project control for Contractor Surveying for Structure and Roadway.

CONSULTANT will provide the Contractor with the following primary survey and control information for Structure Surveying:

1. Descriptions of two primary control points used for the horizontal and vertical control. Primary control points will be described by reference to the project alignment and the coordinate system and elevation datum utilized by the project. In addition, the AGENCY will supply horizontal coordinates for the beginning and ending points and for each Point of Intersection (PI) on each alignment included in the project.
2. Horizontal coordinates for the centerline of each bridge pier.
3. Computed elevations at top of bridge roadway decks at one-tenth points along centerline of each girder web. All form grades and other working grades shall be calculated by the Contractor.

The Contractor shall give three weeks notification to allow adequate time to provide the data outlined in Items 2 and 3 above.

The AGENCY may request CONSULTANT to provide spot checks of the Contractor's surveying. These spot checks will not change the requirements for normal checking by the Contractor.

The Contractor shall calculate coordinates for the points associated with piles, shafts, footings and columns. The CONSULTANT will verify these coordinates prior to issuing approval to the Contractor for commencing with the survey work. The AGENCY will require up to seven calendar days from the date the data is received to issuing approval. Contract work to be performed using contractor-provided stakes shall not begin until the stakes are approved by the AGENCY and verified by the CONSULTANT. Such approval shall not relieve the Contractor of responsibility for the accuracy of the stakes.

CONSULTANT will provide the Contractor with the following primary survey and control information for Roadway Surveying:

CONSULTANT will assist the AGENCY in providing the Contractor with primary survey control information consisting of descriptions of two primary control points used for the horizontal and vertical control, and descriptions of two additional primary control points for every additional three miles of project length. Primary control points will be described by reference to the project alignment and the coordinate system and elevation datum utilized by the project. In addition, the AGENCY will supply horizontal coordinates for the beginning and ending points and for each Point of Intersection (PI) on each alignment included in the project.

Contractor shall determine if changes are needed to the profiles or roadway sections shown in the Contract Plans in order to achieve proper smoothness and drainage where matching into existing features, such as a smooth transition from new pavement to existing pavement. The Contractor shall submit these changes to the Project Engineer for review and approval 10 days prior to the beginning of work. CONSULTANT will evaluate submittals and make recommendations to AGENCY.

CONSULTANT may spot-check the Contractor's surveying at the request of AGENCY. These spot checks will not change the requirements for normal checking by the Contractor. When staking roadway alignment and stationing, the Contractor shall perform independent checks from different secondary control to ensure that the points

staked are within the specified survey accuracy tolerances. The Contractor shall calculate coordinates for the alignment. The CONSULTANT will verify these coordinates prior to AGENCY issuing approval to the Contractor for commencing with the work. The AGENCY will require up to seven calendar days from the date the data is received from the Contractor.

Contract work to be performed using contractor-provided stakes shall not begin until the stakes are approved by the Contracting Agency. Such approval shall not relieve the Contractor of responsibility for the accuracy of the stakes. As requested, CONSULTANT shall verify contractor-provided stakes.

Stakes shall be marked in accordance with Standard Plan A10.10. When stakes are needed that are not described in the Plans, then those stakes shall be marked, at no additional cost to the Contracting Agency as ordered by the Engineer.

Subtask 2.6 – Construction Observation/Resident Project Representative

CONSULTANT will provide services as requested by AGENCY in accordance with the following:

Visits to Site and Observation of Construction / Resident Project Representative (RPR) Services. In connection with observations of the Work while it is in progress:

- Periodic Site Visits by CONSULTANT. Make visits to the Site at intervals appropriate to the various stages of construction, as CONSULTANT deems necessary, to observe as an experienced and qualified design professional the progress and quality of the Work. Such visits and observations, if any, are not intended to be exhaustive or to extend to every aspect of the Work or to involve detailed inspections of the Work beyond the responsibilities specifically assigned to CONSULTANT in this Agreement, but rather are to be limited to spot checking, selective sampling, and similar methods of general observation of the Work based on CONSULTANT's exercise of professional judgment as assisted by the RPR, if any. Based on information obtained during such visits and observations, CONSULTANT will determine in general, for the benefit of AGENCY, if the Work is proceeding in accordance with the Contract Documents, and CONSULTANT shall keep AGENCY informed of the progress of the Work.
- Resident Project Representative ("RPR"). When requested by AGENCY, provide the Services of a RPR at the Site to provide more extensive observation of the Work. Duties, responsibilities, and authority of the RPR, are as set forth in the section entitled Resident Project Representative, herein. Through more extensive observations of the Work and field checks of materials and equipment by RPR, CONSULTANT shall endeavor to provide further protection to the AGENCY against defects and deficiencies in the Work. The furnishing of such RPR's Services will not extend CONSULTANT's responsibilities or authority beyond the specific limits set forth elsewhere in this Agreement.

Defective Work. Recommend to AGENCY that the Work be disapproved and rejected while it is in progress if CONSULTANT believes that such Work does not conform generally to the Contract Documents or that the Work will prejudice the integrity of the design concept of the completed Project as a functioning whole as indicated in the Contract Documents.

Deliverables:

- ☐ Daily Report (electronic copy in .pdf format)
- ☐ Daily Tracking of Bid Item Quantities (one paper copy)

Subtask 2.7 – Materials Testing

AGENCY will provide for or by agreement others will provide Material Testing performed in accordance with the project requirements. Testing will include all soil, concrete, and asphalt testing required meeting the WSDOT specifications. A Professional Engineer will review all materials testing results for conformance with project specifications.

CONSULTANT will coordinate testing schedule and review results as requested by AGENCY. CONSULTANT will make recommendations to AGENCY concerning special inspections or tests of the Work, and the receipt and review of certificates of inspections, testing, and approvals required by laws and regulations and the Contract Documents (but only to determine generally that the results certified indicate compliance with the Contract Documents).

Subtask 2.8 – Record Drawings & Project Closeout

CONSULTANT will furnish a set of reproducible prints of Record Drawings showing significant changes made during the construction process, based on the annotated record documents for the Project furnished by the Contractor.

Deliverables:

- ☐ Record Drawings (one full size 24"x36" mylar)

LIMITATIONS

The following limitations have been identified and will apply to this Scope of Work:

1. No additional Right-of-way is required.
2. Survey records for the establishment of control are provided by AGENCY.
3. Construction Staking to be Contractor provided.
4. Geotechnical Investigation is provided by others under a separate agreement with AGENCY.
5. Landscaping is not included.
6. Environmental Documentation is provided by others under a separate agreement with AGENCY
7. Bridge and Structural Design is by others under a separate agreement with AGENCY.
8. AGENCY to advertise the Construction Contract
9. AGENCY to administer Construction. CONSULTANT to provide support as requested..

ESTIMATED PERSON-HOUR EXHIBIT
Kittitas Highway Safety Improvements
Supplement No. 1 - Construction Engineering (Includes Travel)

CLIENT: Kittitas County
DATE: September 17, 2014
Section: Kittitas Highway - Ellensburg City Limits to Kittitas City Limits

PROJECT TASK		Principal In-Charge	Project Manager	Project Engineer	Roadway Design Engineer	Irrigation/Storm water Project Engineer	Survey Manager - PLS	Construction Site Surveyor	Assistant Construction Site Surveyor	Construction Manager	Construction Observer	CADD Technician	Clerical
		Hours											
TASK 2	CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING	6.0	100.0	80.0	40.0	56.0	16.0	40.0	40.0	116.0	2296.0	24.0	92.0
2.1	Project Management (12 Mo.)	6.0	48.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.0	0.0	0.0	60.0
2.2	Meetings	0.0	12.0	12.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2.3	Submittals & RFI	0.0	8.0	12.0	0.0	12.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.0	0.0	0.0	8.0
2.4	Change Orders	0.0	8.0	16.0	0.0	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	8.0
2.5	Construction Surveying - Control & QC	0.0	4.0	8.0	8.0	0.0	16.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2.6	Construction Observation (260 WD) - 52 weeks	0.0	8.0	16.0	16.0	24.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.0	2288.0	0.0	0.0
2.7	Material Testing Coordination & Review	0.0	4.0	8.0	0.0	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.0	0.0	0.0	8.0
2.8	Record Drawings & Project Closeout	0.0	8.0	8.0	16.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.0	8.0	24.0	8.0
TOTAL		6.0	100.0	80.0	40.0	56.0	16.0	40.0	40.0	116.0	2296.0	24.0	92.0

EXHIBIT E- 1 FEE DETERMINATION - (INCLUDES TRAVEL)

9/17/2014

		Original Budget	Adjustments	Subtotals	Supplement No. 1	Total With Supplement No. 1
TASK 1	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	\$256,600.00	Task 1 & Task 2			
	Additional SD Auth	\$15,300.00				
	Total Authorized	\$271,900.00				
	Management Reserve	\$25,660.00				
	Additional SD Auth	(\$15,300.00)				
	Remaining MR Task 1	\$10,360.00				
	Total Auth with Additional SD	\$282,260.00	\$41,000.00	\$323,260.00	\$0.00	\$323,260.00
<hr/>						
TASK 2	CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING	\$106,900.00	(\$41,000.00)	\$65,900.00	\$247,600.00	\$313,500.00
	Task 2 MR	\$10,690.00		\$10,690.00	\$20,660.00	\$31,350.00
	Task 2 Total	\$117,590.00		\$76,590.00	\$268,260.00	\$344,850.00
	Total Authorized	\$399,850.00		\$399,850.00		\$668,110.00

Exhibit E-1
Consultant Fee Determination - Summary Sheet
Cost Plus Fixed Fee

Project: Kittitas Highway Task 2 - Construction Engineering (Includes Travel)

Direct Salary Cost (DSC):

Classification	Man-Hours	Rates of Pay	Costs
Principal In-Charge	6.0	\$65.77	\$394.62
Project Manager	100.0	\$60.82	\$6,082.00
Project Engineer	80.0	\$42.09	\$3,367.20
Roadway Design Engineer	40.0	\$32.50	\$1,300.00
Irrigation/Stormwater Project Engineer	56.0	\$45.77	\$2,563.12
Survey Manager - PLS	16.0	\$48.51	\$776.16
<i>Construction Site Surveyor</i>	40.0	<i>\$55.24</i>	<i>\$2,209.60</i>
<i>Assistant Construction Site Surveyor</i>	40.0	<i>\$54.33</i>	<i>\$2,173.20</i>
Construction Manager	116.0	\$46.88	\$5,438.08
Construction Observer	2296.0	\$26.00	\$59,696.00
CADD Technician	24.0	\$28.62	\$686.88
Clerical	92.0	\$24.27	\$2,232.84
<i>Note: Survey Crew based on WA State Kittitas Co. Prevailing Wage as required by L&I</i>			
Total DSC =			\$86,919.70
Overhead (OH Cost - including Salary Additives):			
OH Rate x DSC	of	177.10%	= \$153,934.79
Fixed Fee	Fixed Fee	35.00%	= \$30,421.90
Total DSC, OH & Profit			\$271,276.38
Reimbursables:			
Travel and Per Diem			
Per Diem	260	days @	\$37.00 \$9,620.00
Air Travel	0	trips @	\$0.00 \$0.00
Mileage	20080	miles @	\$0.52 \$10,441.60
Lodging	208	nights @	\$100.00 \$20,800.00
Reproduction/Mailing Expenses			
Survey Equipment - GPS			
	40.0 hours	\$34.15	\$1,366.00
Reimbursable Sub-Total			\$42,227.60
Subconsultant Costs:			
			\$0.00
Subconsultant Costs			\$0.00
Total			\$313,503.98
Total (Rounded \$100)			\$313,500.00
Management Reserve (10%)			\$31,350.00
Grand Total			\$344,850.00
Prepared by: Rick Door			
Date: 9/17/2014			

EXHIBIT F**WSDOT****Breakdown of Overhead Cost
Year Ending December 31, 2013**

FRINGE BENEFITS		
	Beginning Total	% of Direct Labor
Direct Labor	11,161,285	
FICA	1,483,322	13.29%
Unemployment	204,635	1.83%
Medical Aid and Industrial Insurance	77,039	0.69%
Company Insurance and Medical	2,107,857	18.89%
Vacation, Holiday, and Sick Leave	2,369,558	21.23%
Commission, Bonuses/Pension Plan	1,352,281	12.12%
Total Fringe Benefits	7,594,692	68.04%
GENERAL OVERHEAD		
State B & O Taxes	203,364	1.82%
Insurance	412,718	3.70%
Administration and Time Not Assignable	7,186,381	64.39%
Printing, Stationery, and Supplies	401,345	3.60%
Professional Services	327,034	2.93%
Travel Not Assignable	597,245	5.35%
Telephone and Telegraph Not Assignable	439,531	3.94%
Fees, Dues, Professional Meetings	98,617	0.88%
Utilities and Maintenance	264,013	2.37%
Professional Development	109,527	0.98%
Rent	1,037,748	9.30%
Equipment Support (computer); Depreciation: Computer expense	977,813	8.76%
Cost of money	69,984	0.63%
Office Miscellaneous, Postage	46,622	0.42%
Total General Overhead	12,171,942	109.06%
Total Overhead Fringe + General	19,766,634	177.10%



June 9, 2014

TO: Erik Jonson, WSDOT Contracts Administrator
MS 47323

FROM: Martha Roach, Agreement Compliance Audit Manager *MR*

SUBJECT: J-U-B Engineers Inc. Indirect Cost Rate
for fiscal year end December 31, 2013

The Idaho Transportation Department has concluded their cognizant review of J-U-B for the above referenced fiscal year. Idaho is the Cognizant State for J-U-B. As such ITD has performed its cognizant review and accepted the audit performed by Ripley Doorn & Company. ITD provided us a copy of the acceptance letter along with the CPA audit report.

Based ITD's acceptance of J-U-B's Indirect Cost Rate, we are issuing this letter establishing J-U-B's rate for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013, at 177.10% of direct labor (rate includes 0.63% Facilities Cost of Capital).

Costs billed to agreements will still be subject to audit of actual costs, based on the terms and conditions of the respective agreement.

If you have any questions, feel free to call me at (360) 705-7006 or via email at roachma@wsdot.wa.gov

Attachment

cc: Steve McKerney
File